

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**1. Project Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**2. Project Name:** Grenada West Riparian Thinning **3. County:** Klamath

**4. Project Sponsor:** BLM **5. Date:** 07/08/02 \_\_\_\_\_

**6. Sponsors Phone #** 541-885-4110

**7. Sponsor's E-mail:** wwatkins@or.blm.gov

**8. Project Location** (attach project area map)

a. 4<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Upper Klamath River 18010206

b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

c. Legal Location:

Township	40s	Range	7e	Section(s)	29,30
Township		Range		Section(s)	
Township		Range		Section(s)	
Township		Range		Section(s)	
Township		Range		Section(s)	
Township		Range		Section(s)	
Township		Range		Section(s)	
Township		Range		Section(s)	

d. BLM District - Lakeview \_\_\_\_\_

e. BLM Resource Area - Klamath Falls

f. National Forest \_\_\_\_\_

g. Forest Service District \_\_\_\_\_

h. State / Private / Other lands involved? ☐ Yes ☒ No

**9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:**

Improve forest health, increase large wood available for recruitment to the stream, reduce the risk of a catastrophic (stand replacing) fire.

**10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Riparian reserves are designated to provide improved water quality and important fish and wildlife habitat. A silvicultural treatment for forested stands that are over stocked with small diameter(<12" dbh) white fir will help to maintain these values. Small diameter trees will be hand felled and piled or cable yarded. The felled trees that are piled or yarded will be removed or burned to reduce fuel loading. Approximately 40 acres will be treated using various spacing of leave trees. The goal will be to improve or maintain the health and growth of the remaining trees in the stand.

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?**

☒ Yes   ☐ No   This project is coordinated with adjoining land owners, USFWS and ODFW. It is the type of project envisioned in the Klamath Falls Resource Area Management Plan.

**12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation?** [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- ☐ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

**13. Project Type** (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]               | <input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]                        |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____                  |  |

**14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes** [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- a. Total Acres: 40                      b. Total Miles: \_\_\_\_\_  
c. No. Structures: \_\_\_\_\_              d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): \_\_\_\_\_

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

e. No. of Laborer Days: \_\_100\_\_

f. Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date** [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: \_\_3 months 6/03\_\_

**16. Target Species Benefited** (if applicable) : \_\_redband trout, other wildlife  
species \_\_\_\_\_

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?** [Sec. 2(b)(3)] This project will demonstrate cooperation between BLM, US Fish and Wildlife Service and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

**18. How is this project in the best public interest?** [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?**  
Long term benefits are expected to be for redband trout population and those who fish for them. The improved habitat would also exist for anadromous fish(salmon and steelhead), if they were to have access in the future. Some very limited benefits to downstream water users (improved water quality).

**19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?** The project will improve water quality, fish populations and public recreation. It will also improve forest health and wildlife habitat within the riparian area and stabilize soil.

**20. Status of Project Planning**

- a. NEPA Complete: ☒ Yes ☐ No      b. If No, give est. date of completion: 3/03\_\_\_\_\_
- c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
- e. Survey & Manage Complete: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
- f. DSL/ODFW\* Permits Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- g. DLS/COE\* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- h. SHPO\* Concurrence Received: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
- i. Project Design(s) Completed: ☒ Yes ☐ No

\* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

**21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment**

- ☒ Contract ☐ Federal Workforce
- ☐ County Workforce ☐ Volunteers
- ☐ Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials?** ( Sec. 204(e)(3))

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

☐ Yes ☒ No

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**23. Anticipated Project Costs** [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$35,000

b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then display by fiscal year

c. FY02 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

f. FY05 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

d. FY03 Request: \$ 35000 \_\_\_\_\_

g. FY06 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

e. FY04 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$500	\$500		
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$500	\$1,000		
28. Contract Preparation	\$200	\$500		
29. Contract Administration	\$500	\$500		
30. Contract Cost		\$32,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring	\$100	\$500		
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$1,800	<b>\$35,000</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above** [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))**

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Photo points. conducted by BLM to determine implementation and effectiveness monitoring. Stand exam plots conducted post treatment and compared to Stand exams done pretreatment.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? The contracts will be advertised in the RAC area (Klamath, Jackson and Josephine Counties). BLM will track the contract award process.**

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?** N/A

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

**Amount:**   \$500